

The diversity and traditional knowledge of wild edible fruits in Bengkulu, Indonesia

Adi Bejo Suwardi, Syamsuardi, Erizal Mukhtar, Nurainas

Correspondence

Adi Bejo Suwardi¹, Syamsuardi^{2*}, Erizal Mukhtar² and Nurainas²

¹Doctoral Program, Department of Biology, Faculty of Mathematics and Sciences, Universitas Andalas. Kampus Limau Manis, Padang 25163, West Sumatra, Indonesia.

²Department of Biology, Faculty of Mathematics and Sciences, Universitas Andalas. Kampus Limau Manis, Padang 25163, West Sumatra, Indonesia.

*Corresponding Author: syamsuardi@sci.unand.ac.id

Ethnobotany Research and Applications 25:15 (2023) - http://dx.doi.org/10.32859/era.25.15.1-17 Manuscript received: 04/11/2022 – Revised manuscript received: 18/01/2023 - Published: 01/02/2023

Research

Abstract

Background: Wild edible fruit plant species (WEFs) contribute significantly to human well-being. These plants have a high nutritional value and are a source of novel alleles/genes that are important in developing new and improved crop cultivars to promote sustainable food security. However, most WEFs are less well-known and underutilized. This study aimed to investigate wild edible fruit species diversity and their potential in the Bengkulu region, Indonesia.

Methods: The ethnobotanical study was carried out in eight villages from four districts of Bengkulu province, Indonesia, i.e. Mukomuko, Lebong, Rejang Lebong, and Bengkulu Selatan. The ethnobotanical survey was carried out from July to September 2022 and included 383 randomly selected respondents. The ethnobotanical investigation uses semi-structured questionnaires to gather information on the traditional knowledge of WEFs. Plant specimens were collected and identified in herbarium ANDA, Universitas Andalas.

Results: A total of 73 wild edible fruit plant species belonging to 37 genera and 26 families were recorded in the study area. Most of the plant species were trees (87.7%), followed by shrubs (5.5%), climbers (4.1%), and herbs (2.7%). Forty-eight (79.5%) species were discovered in the forest, 7 (9.6%) in the farmlands, and 8 (11%) in both the forest and the farmlands. WEFs are mostly consumed as food. *Artocarpus integer, Mangifera odorata, Pometia pinnata, Flacourtia rukam, Durio oxleyanus, Baccaurea racemosa, Bellucia pentamera, Baccaurea macrocarpa, Baccaurea polyneura*, and *Mangifera foetida. Artocarpus integer* are the most preferred WEFs by their taste quality. Besides foods, WEFs have multi purposes including as traditional medicine, construction, agricultural tools, fuelwood, and fodder. Indigenous knowledge of WEFs was significantly associated with districts, age groups, and educational levels.

Conclusion: Bengkulu has a diverse range of WEFs, but only a small proportion has been used by local people, particularly as food. Promotion and domestication of WEFs should be a primary concern in Bengkulu in order to take advantage of their nutritional value and potential economic value. Moreover, integrating knowledge related to WEFs into the educational curriculum is critical for educating the next generation regarding the potential of WEFs in the future.

Keywords: Bengkulu, biodiversity, local knowledge, wild fruits, underutilized plants

Abstrak

Latar Belakang: Tumbuhan buah-buahan liar yang dapat dimakan berperan penting dalam meningkatkan kesejahteraan hidup manusia. Tumbuhan ini memiliki nilai gizi yang tinggi dan merupakan sumber alel/gen baru yang penting dalam mengembangkan kultivar tanaman baru dan lebih baik untuk mempromosikan ketahanan pangan berkelanjutan. Namun, sebagian besar tumbuhan buah-buahan liar ini kurang dikenal dan kurang dimanfaatkan. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk mengetahui keanekaragaman jenis buah-buahan liar yang dapat dimakan dan potensinya di wilayah Bengkulu, Indonesia.

Metode: Studi etnobotani dilakukan pada delapan desa dari empat kabupaten di Provinsi Bengkulu, Indonesia, yaitu Mukomuko, Lebong, Rejang Lebong, dan Bengkulu Selatan. Survei etnobotani dilakukan dari Juli hingga September 2022 dengan melibatkan 383 responden yang dipilih secara acak. Penelitian etnobotani menggunakan kuesioner semi-terstruktur untuk mengumpulkan informasi tentang pengetahuan tradisional terhadap tumbuhan buah-buahan liar. Spesimen tumbuhan dikumpulkan dan diidentifikasi di herbarium ANDA, Universitas Andalas.

Hasil: Sebanyak 73 jenis tumbuhan buah-buahan liar yang termasuk dalam 37 marga dan 26 suku telah ditemukan di lokasi penelitian. Jenis tumbuhan terbanyak berupa pohon (87,7%), diikuti oleh perdu (5,5%), pemanjat (4,1%), dan herba (2,7%). Empat puluh delapan (79,5%) jenis ditemukan di hutan, 7 (9,6%) di lahan pertanian, dan 8 (11%) di hutan dan lahan pertanian. Tumbuhan buah-buahan liar sebagian besar dikonsumsi sebagai makanan. *Artocarpus integer, Mangifera odorata, Pometia pinnata, Flacourtia rukam, Durio oxleyanus, Baccaurea racemosa, Bellucia pentamera, Baccaurea macrocarpa, Baccaurea polyneura*, dan *Mangifera foetida* merupakan jenis yang paling disukai berdasarkan kualitas rasanya. Selain dimanfaatkan sebagai makanan, tumbuhan buah-buahan liar juga memiliki banyak kegunaan, antara lain sebagai obat tradisional, bahan bangunan, alat pertanian, kayu bakar, dan pakan ternak. Pengetahuan tradisional tentang tumbuhan buah-buahan liar secara sangat berhubungan dengan lokasi penelitian, kelompok umur, dan tingkat pendidikan responden.

Kesimpulan: Bengkulu memiliki jenis tumbuhan buah-buahan liar yang beragam, namun hanya sebagian kecil yang telah dimanfaatkan oleh masyarakat setempat, terutama sebagai bahan pangan. Promosi dan domestikasi jenis tumbuhan buah-buahan liar perlu menjadi prioritas utama di Bengkulu sebagai upaya memanfaatkan nilai gizi dan potensi nilai ekonominya. Selain itu, mengintegrasikan pengetahuan terkait jenis tumbuhan buah-buahan liar ke dalam kurikulum pendidikan sangat penting untuk mendidik generasi muda terkait potensi jenis tumbuhan buah-buahan liar buahan liar dimasa yang akan datang.

Kata kunci: Bengkulu, biodiversitas, pengetahuan lokal, tumbuhan buah-buahan liar, tumbuhan yang kurang dimanfaatkan

Background

Wild edible fruit plants (WEFs) are fruit-producing plants that have not been cultivated and are harvested from their natural habitats (Beluhan & Ranogajec 2011). WEFs represent the majority of wild food plants (Chua-Barcelo 2014), and many wild fruits are widely consumed (FAO 2014). These plants have been recommended as adequate sources of antioxidants, minerals, and vitamins (Kamatou et al. 2011; Mahapatra & Panda 2011; Bvenura & Sivakumar 2017; Suwardi et al. 2022a). In addition to being an important food source, WEFs are also widely used in medicine (Navia et al. 2021a), cosmetics (Gebauer et al. 2016), crafts (Hazarika & Singh 2018), fiber (Karun et al. 2014), fuel (Debela et al. 2012), and material rituals (Sutrisno et al. 2020). Each community group has distinct traditional knowledge of the use of WEFs that distinguishes it from other groups. For example, the local communities in the Philippines are used WEFs as food, medicine, forage, offertory, condiment, dye, and decoration (Chua-Barcelo 2014), while in Bhutan and Rwanda used WEFs as food, medicine, firewood, timber, charcoal, shade, and fodder (Bigirimana et al. 2016; Yangdon et al. 2022). Most WEFs are also known to have economic value and contribute significantly to the generation of income for rural people around the world, e.g. Indonesia (Suwardi et al. 2020; Syamsuardi et al. 2022), Malaysia (Ong et al. 2012), India (Gusain & Khanduri 2016), Cameroon and Nigeria (Leakey et al. 2005; Schreckenberg et al. 2006), and South Africa (Akinnifesi et al. 2006). This circumstance demonstrates that WEFs play an important role in the life of many indigenous peoples in the world (Hazarika & Singh 2018).

Indonesia is a biodiversity hotspot, home to over 20,000 flowering plant species (Kusmana & Hikmat 2015), and is considered one of the distribution centers of tropical fruits in the world (Uji 2007). However, most of these plants, particularly wild species, are underutilized and threatened by habitat destruction caused by various human activities

such as agricultural development, settlements, and overexploitation. Intensive commercialization and promotion of high-value fruit crops, including introduced species, has reduced consumer awareness of wild species, including WEFs. These activities have also impacted the deterioration of the traditional use of WEFs in Indonesia. The decrease in plant diversity is considered to be contributing to the extinction of indigenous knowledge (Khasbagan 2008). The increasing erosion of indigenous knowledge of WEFs, as well as the disruption of the coexistence of people and forests, will result in the loss of various WEFs' potential in the long term. Indigenous knowledge of wild food plants, including WEFs, is essential for maintaining cultural heritages, as well as for domestication, conservation, and addressing resource governance and policy. This study aimed, therefore, to investigate wild edible fruit species diversity and their potential in the Bengkulu region, Indonesia.

Materials and Methods

Study area

Bengkulu Province is one of Indonesia's provinces located in the western Bukit Barisan mountains. This area is geographically located at 2°16'S to 3°31'S and 101°01'E to 103°41'E, with elevations ranging from 0 to 1,900 m asl. Bengkulu Province has a tropical climate with two seasons: the rainy season, which lasts from December to March, and the dry season, which lasts from June to September. The average annual air temperature is 28.7°C, while the average annual humidity is 76.8%, and the average annual rainfall is 3,658.1 mm with 23.2 rainy days. Bengkulu Province covers an area of approximately 19,919.33 km² and has a population of 2.032 million people, including 1.039 million men and 993 thousand women. Bengkulu province is divided into 10 districts, 129 sub-districts, and 1,514 villages (BPS-Statistics of Bengkulu Province, Indonesia, i.e. Mukomuko, Lebong, Rejang Lebong, and Bengkulu Selatan (Fig. 1, Table 1).



Figure 1. Map of Bengkulu province, Indonesia, showing the study area

| | Table 1. | Description | of the selected | study villages |
|--|----------|-------------|-----------------|----------------|
|--|----------|-------------|-----------------|----------------|

| District | Sub-district | Name of village | Area (km²) | No. of population | No. of respondents involved in the study |
|-----------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------------|---|
| Mukomuko | Malin Deman | Lubuk Talang | 68.75 | 1,327 | 56 |
| | | Gajah Makmur | 19.24 | 1,143 | 48 |
| Lebong | Pinang Belapis | Sebelat Ulu | 2.00 | 334 | 14 |
| | | Ketenong Dua | 5.00 | 579 | 24 |
| Rejang Lebong | Selupu Rejang | Kayu Manis | 21.93 | 1,097 | 46 |
| , , , , | 1 9 0 | Cawang Lama | 9.18 | 2,863 | 121 |
| Bengkulu Tengah | Taba | Rindu Hati | 12.93 | 1,142 | 48 |
| | Penanjung | Surau | 12.72 | 598 | 25 |
| Total | | | 25.65 | 9,083 | 383 |

Ethnobotanical survey

The ethnobotanical survey was carried out from July to September 2022. The sample size was calculated using the Cochran sample size formula (Bartlett et al., 2001). A total of 383 respondents were chosen at random, with 54.3% of them being women, 27.7% being between the ages of 45 and 55, and the majority (33.2%) having completed Junior High School (Table 2).

| Chavactoristics | | Study area | | |
|--------------------|----------|------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Characteristics | Mukomuko | Lebong | Rejang Lebong | Bengkulu Tengah |
| Gender | | | | |
| Men | 46 | 17 | 76 | 34 |
| Women | 58 | 22 | 91 | 39 |
| Age | | | | |
| 15-25 | 17 | 5 | 23 | 14 |
| 26-35 | 16 | 8 | 41 | 18 |
| 36-45 | 30 | 12 | 53 | 16 |
| 46-55 | 33 | 9 | 43 | 13 |
| 56-65 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 12 |
| Latest education | | | | |
| No Education | 12 | 6 | 18 | 7 |
| Elementary School | 38 | 8 | 42 | 16 |
| Junior High School | 37 | 13 | 51 | 26 |
| Senior High School | 15 | 11 | 37 | 18 |
| Higher Education | 2 | 1 | 19 | 6 |

Table 2. Socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents

The ethnobotanical investigation uses semi-structured questionnaires to gather information on the traditional knowledge of WEFs, such as their local names, utilization, plant parts used, mode of preparation and consumption, and transfer knowledge. The aims of the study were clearly explained to informants prior to conducting interviews, and their consent was obtained. During the survey, plant specimens were collected. The voucher specimens were identified at ANDA herbarium, Universitas Andalas, West Sumatra, Indonesia. Plants of the World Online (https://powo.science.kew.org/) was used to update the botanical name.

Data Analysis

The data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, relative frequency citation, and preference ranking. Ethnobotanical data were organized using Microsoft Excel spreadsheets.

The ethnomedicinal data was assessed using a relative frequency citation (RFC) index (Vitalini et al., 2013):

$$RFC = FC/N (0 < RFC < 1)$$

Where, FC is the frequency of citation; N is the total number of respondents participating in the study, without considering use categories into account.

Chi-square tests were used to compare indigenous knowledge and gender groups, and Kruskal-Wallis tests were used to compare indigenous knowledge, age, and education level. IBM-SPSS ver. 21 software was used for the statistical analysis.

Results

Diversity of wild edible fruit species

A total of 73 wild edible fruit plant species belonging to 37 genera and 26 families were recorded in the study area (Table 3). Most of the plant species were trees (87.7%), followed by shrubs (5.5%), climbers (4.1%), and herbs (2.7%). Forty-eight (79.5%) species were discovered in the forest, 7 (9.6%) in the farmlands, and 8 (11%) in both the forest and the farmlands. In terms of the number of species, Moraceae was the most dominant botanical family with 13 species, followed by Phylantaceae and Fagaceae (8 species each), Clusiaceae (4 species), and Anacardiaceae, Malvaceae, Melastomataceae, Meliaceae, and Myrtaceae represented by 3 species each. The remaining 17 families are represented by one to two species.

| Family | Scientific name | Local name | Life | Habitat | Plant | Use (s) | District | |
|----------------|---|---------------------|------|---------|-------|---------------------|----------------|--|
| | (Vouchers No.) | | form | | part | | | |
| | | | | | used | | | |
| Anacardiaceae | <i>Mangifera caesia</i> Jack | Binjai | Т | F, Fm | Fr | Eaten raw | LB, BT | |
| | RH-014 | | | | Le | Fodder | _ | |
| | | | | | St | Agricultural tools | _ | |
| | Mangifera foetida Lour. | Bacang | Т | Fm | Fr | Eaten raw | MK, LB, RL, BT | |
| | KM-017 | | | | St | Agricultural tools | _ | |
| | Mangifera odorata Griff. | Kuini, Lokop, Po'ak | Т | F, Fm | Fr | Eaten raw | MK, LB, RL, BT | |
| | RH-015 | | | | St | Agricultural tools | _ | |
| Araliaceae | <i>Brassaiopsis glomerulata</i> (Blume) Regel | Randu | Т | F | Fr | Snack | RL | |
| | KM-003 | | | | Le | Vegetable | _ | |
| Clusiaceae | Garcinia atroviridis Griff. ex T. Anderson | Kandis | Т | F, Fm | Fr | Spices, medicine | MK, LB, RL, BT | |
| | SU-020 | | | | St | Fodder | - | |
| | Garcinia cowa Roxb. ex Choisy | Kandis | Т | F | Fr | Spices | MK, LB, BT | |
| | RH-028 | | | | | | | |
| | Garcinia parvifolia (Miq.) Miq. | Kandis Burung | Т | F | Fr | Spices | МК | |
| | LT-023 | | | | | | | |
| | Garcinia xanthochymus Hook.f. ex | Gelugur | Т | F | Fr | Spices, medicine | MK, LB, BT | |
| | T.Anderson | | | | | | | |
| | SU-009 | | | | | | | |
| Combretaceae | <i>Terminalia catappa</i> L. | Ketapang | Т | F | Fr | Snack | MK, LB, RL, BT | |
| | LT-029 | | | | St | Agricultural tools, | | |
| | | | | | | fuelwood | | |
| | Terminalia foetidissima Griff. | Kedaniang | Т | F | Fr | Snack | LB | |
| | SU-021 | | | | St | Fuelwood | _ | |
| Cucurbitaceae | Zehneria repanda (Blume) C.M.Simmons | Timun Tikus | С | F | Fr | Vegetable | RL | |
| | KM-024 | | | | Le | Medicine | _ | |
| Elaeocarpaceae | Elaeocarpus floribundus Blume | Tapang | Т | F | Sd | Snack | LB, RL, BT | |
| | KM-013 | | | | St | Construction | | |
| | | | | | | materials and | | |
| | | | | | | agricultural tools | | |
| Euphorbiaceae | Mallotus miquelianus (Scheff.) Boerl. | Siwabuk | Т | F | Sd | Snack | LB, RL | |
| | SU-027 | | | | | | | |
| | Mallotus peltatus (Geiseler) Müll.Arg. | Balek angin | Т | F | Sd | Snack | MK, LB, RL, BT | |

Table 3. Wild edible fruit plant species reported by the local people in Bengkulu

| | LT-036 | | | | Le | Medicine | |
|----------|---|---------------------|---|-------|----|---------------------|---------------|
| Fabaceae | Archidendron bubalinum (Jack) | Kabau | Т | F, Fm | Fr | Vegetable | MK, LB |
| | I.C.Nielsen | | | | Le | Fodder | _ |
| | LT-034 | | | | | | |
| | <i>Parkia singularis</i> Miq. | Petai Meranti | Т | F | Fr | Vegetable | МК |
| | LT-022 | | | | Le | Fodder | |
| Fagaceae | Castanopsis argentea (Bl.) A.DC. | Be'ang | Т | F | Sd | Snack | MK, LB, RL |
| | SU-055 | | | | St | Construction | |
| | | | | | | material, | |
| | | | | | | agricultural tools, | |
| | | | | | | and fuelwood | |
| | Castanopsis clemensii Soepadmo | Be'ang | Т | F | Sd | Snack | MK, LB |
| | SU-001 | | | | St | Construction | _ |
| | | | | | | materials and | |
| | | | | | | agricultural tools | |
| | <i>Castanopsis malaccensis</i> Gamble | Be'ang | Т | F | Sd | Snack | MK, LB |
| | SU-001 | | | | St | Construction | |
| | | | | | | materials and | |
| | | | | | | agricultural tools | |
| | <i>Lithocarpus bancanus</i> (Scheff.) Rehder LT-028 | Buah Pening, Peneng | Т | F | Sd | Snack | _ MK, LB _ |
| | | | | | St | Construction | |
| | | | | | | material, | |
| | | | | | | agricultural tools, | |
| | | | | | | and fuelwood | |
| | <i>Lithocarpus hystrix</i> (Korth.) Rehder | Be'ang Kecil | Т | F | Sd | Snack | LB, RL |
| | KM-002 | | | | St | Construction | |
| | | | | | | materials and | |
| | | | | | | agricultural tools | |
| | <i>Lithocarpus lucidus</i> (Roxb.) Rehder | Buah Pening | Т | F | Sd | Snack | MK, LB, RL |
| | SU-039 | | | | St | Construction | |
| | | | | | | materials and | |
| | | | | | | agricultural tools | |
| | Lithocarpus reinwardtii (Korth.) A.Camus | Buah Pening | Т | F | Sd | Snack | LB, BT |
| | SU-008 | | | | St | Construction | - |
| | | | | | | material, | |

| | | | | | | agricultural tools, | _ |
|-----------------|---|---------------------|---|-------|----|---------------------|----------------|
| | | | | | | and fuelwood | |
| | Lithocarpus sundaicus (Blume) Rehd. | Buah Pening | Т | F | Sd | Snack | MK, LB |
| | LT-031 | | | | St | Construction | _ |
| | | | | | | materials and | |
| | | | | | | agricultural tools | |
| Hypoxidaceae | <i>Curculigo capitulata</i> (Lour.) Kuntze KM-028 | Petari | Н | F, Fm | Fr | Eaten raw | MK, LB, RL, BT |
| Lauraceae | <i>Litsea angulata</i> Blume | Medang Kecik | Т | F | Fr | Eaten raw | LB |
| | SU-013 | | | | Le | Fodder | _ |
| | | | | | St | Agricultural tools | _ |
| | <i>Litsea elliptica</i> Blume | Medang Kerawas | Т | F | Fr | Eaten raw | LB, RL, BT |
| | KM-016 | | | | Le | Fodder | _ |
| Malvaceae | Durio lowianus Scort. ex King | Ajun | Т | F | Fr | Eaten raw | LB |
| | SU-031 | | | | St | Construction | - |
| | | | | | | materials | |
| | Durio oxleyanus Griff. | Ajun landok, Durian | Ţ | F | Fr | Eaten raw | MK, LB, RL, BT |
| | SU-038 | daun | | | St | Construction | _ |
| | | | | | | materials | |
| | <i>Sterculia rubiginosa</i> Vent. | Glumpang | Т | F | Fr | Snack | LB, BT |
| | RH-013 | | | | St | Construction | |
| | | | | | | material and | |
| | | | | | | fuelwood | |
| Melastomataceae | <i>Bellucia pentamera</i> Naudin SU-044 | Jambu hutan | Т | F, Fm | Fr | Eaten raw | MK, LB, RL, BT |
| | Miconia crenata (Vahl) Michelang. | Sikaduduk bulu | S | F | Fr | Eaten raw | MK, LB, RL, BT |
| | SU-045 | | | | Le | Medicine | _ |
| | <i>Melastoma malabathricum</i> L. | Sikaduduk | S | Fm | Fr | Eaten raw | MK, LB, RL, BT |
| | LT-035 | | | | Le | Medicine | _ |
| Meliaceae | <i>Aglaia crassinervia</i> Kurz ex Hiern | Unknown | Ţ | F | Fr | Eaten raw | LB, RL |
| | KM-009 | | | | | | |
| | <i>Aglaia silvestris</i> (M.Roem.) Merr. | Unknown | Т | F | Fr | Eaten raw | LB |
| | SU-016 | | | | Le | Medicine | _ |
| | | | | | St | Construction | _ |
| | | | | | | material | |
| | Epicharis parasitica (Osbeck) Mabb. | Air-air | Т | Fm | Fr | Eaten raw | RL |

| | RH-019 | | | | | | |
|---------------|--|-----------------|---|-------|----|------------------------|----------------|
| Moracea | Artocarpus elasticus Reinw. ex Blume | Bungkus | Т | F | Sd | Snack | MK, LB, RL, BT |
| | SU-002 | | | | | | |
| | Artocarpus integer (Thunb.) Merr. | Cempedak Hutan | Т | F, Fm | Fr | Eaten raw | MK, LB, RL, BT |
| | RH-024 | | | | Sd | Snack | _ |
| | | | | | Le | Fodder | _ |
| | Artocarpus lacucha BuchHam. | Ketapang | Т | F | Fr | Eaten raw | МК |
| | LT-029 | | | | Le | Fodder | _ |
| | Artocarpus odoratissimus Blanco RH-026 | Terap | Т | F | Sd | Snack | MK, LB, RL, BT |
| | Artocarpus rigidus Blume RH-005 | Cempedak Hutan | Т | F | Sd | Snack | MK, LB, RL, BT |
| | Ficus fistulosa Reinw. ex Blume | Ao | Т | F | Fr | Eaten raw | MK, LB, RL, BT |
| | RH-017 | | | | Ro | Medicine | _ |
| | <i>Ficus glandulifera</i> (Wall. ex Miq.) King RH-037 | Ao | Т | F | Fr | Eaten raw | lb, bt |
| | <i>Ficus hispida</i> L.f. KM-015 | Buah Kodok, Lau | Т | F | Fr | Eaten raw | MK, LB, RL, BT |
| | <i>Ficus racemosa</i> L. KM-018 | Buah Plas | Т | F | Fr | Eaten raw, medicine | LB, RL, BT |
| | | | | | Le | Medicine | |
| | <i>Ficus ribes</i> Reinw. ex Blume SU-003 | Kodok/Aro Tanah | Т | F | Fr | Eaten raw | MK, LB, RL, BT |
| | <i>Ficus sagittata</i> Vahl RH-039 | Ao | С | F | Fr | Eaten raw | lb, RL, BT |
| | <i>Ficus variegata</i> Blume KM-032 | Ao | Т | F | Fr | Eaten raw | RL |
| | Ficus virens Aiton | Ao | Т | F | Fr | Eaten raw, salad | MK, LB, RL |
| | KM-036 | | | | Le | Vegetable | _ |
| Myristicaceae | <i>Horsfieldia polyspherula</i> (Hook.f. ex King) J.Sinclair SU-010 | Semalo Abang | Т | F | Fr | Spices | LB |
| | Myristica elliptica Wall. ex Hook.f. & | Pala Hutan | Т | F | Fr | Spices | MK, LB, BT |
| | Thomson LT-014 | | | | St | Agricultural tools | S |
| Myrtaceae | <i>Syzygium antisepticum</i> (Blume) Merr. & L.M.Perry | Jambu rimba | Т | F | Fr | Eaten raw | MK, LB |
| | | | | | | | |

| | LT-037 | | | | | | |
|------------------|---|-------------------|---|-------|----|------------------|----------------|
| | Syzygium polyanthum (Wight) Walp. | Salam | Т | Fm | Fr | Eaten raw | MK, LB, RL, BT |
| | KM-028 | | | | Le | Spices, medicine | _ |
| | Syzygium pycnanthum Merr. & L.M.Perry | Jambu air | Т | F | Fr | Eaten raw | MK, LB, RL, BT |
| | SU-048 | | | | Le | Fodder | _ |
| Passifloraceae | <i>Passiflora foetida</i> L. RH-009 | Rebis | С | Fm | Fr | Eaten raw | MK, LB, RL, BT |
| Pentaphylacaceae | <i>Eurya nitida</i> Korth. SU-034 | Gi'ok | Т | F | Fr | Eaten raw | LB |
| Phyllanthaceae | <i>Baccaurea deflexa</i> Müll.Arg. KM-022 | Kisip | Т | F | Fr | Eaten raw | RL |
| | Baccaurea lanceolata (Miq.) Müll.Arg. | Buah Lepsuak, | Т | F | Fr | Eaten raw, | MK, LB, RL, BT |
| | SU-005 | Lempaung | | | | medicine | |
| | | | | | Le | Medicine | _ |
| | <i>Baccaurea macrocarpa</i> (Miq.) Müll.Arg. SU-006 | Kayu Abuk, Tapuih | Т | F | Fr | Eaten raw | MK, LB, RL, BT |
| | <i>Baccaurea parviflora</i> (Müll.Arg.) Müll.Arg. SU-017 | Tapuih Tuhu | Т | F | Fr | Eaten raw | MK, LB |
| | <i>Baccaurea polyneura</i> Hook.f. RH-012 | Jetik | Т | F | Fr | Eaten raw | MK, LB, RL, BT |
| | <i>Baccaurea racemosa</i> (Reinw. ex Blume) Müll.Arg. RH-020 | Tupak | Т | F | Fr | Eaten raw | MK, LB, RL, BT |
| | <i>Baccaurea ramiflora</i> Lour. SU-040 | Тароі | Т | F | Fr | Eaten raw | MK, LB |
| | Baccaurea sumatrana (Miq.) Müll.Arg. | Seripis, pasak | Т | F | Fr | Eaten raw | MK, LB, RL, BT |
| | SU-015 | | | | Le | Medicine | |
| Rosaceae | <i>Nauclea orientalis</i> (L.) L. | Monteng/Stewel | Т | F | Fr | Eaten raw | LB, RL |
| | KM-019 | | | | Le | Vegetable, | _ |
| | | | | | | medicine | |
| | <i>Rubus moluccanus</i> L. SU-032 | Spinget blando | S | Fm | Fr | Eaten raw | MK, LB, RL, BT |
| Salicaceae | Flacourtia rukam Zoll. & Moritzi | Rukam manis | Т | F, Fm | Fr | Eaten raw | MK, LB, RL, BT |
| | KM-006 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Le | Medicine | |
| | Flacourtia inermis Roxb. | Rukam masam | Т | F, Fm | Fr | Eaten raw | RL |
| | | | | | | | |

| | КМ. 020 | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--|---------------------|---|----|----|---------------|----------------|--|
| Sapindaceae | Mischocarpus pentapetalus (Roxb.) Radlk. | Unknown | Т | F | Fr | Eaten raw | LB | |
| | SU-023 | | | | | | | |
| | Nephelium uncinatum Radlk. ex Leenh. | Rambutan hutan | Т | F | Fr | Eaten raw | MK, LB | |
| | LT-027 | | | | | | | |
| | Pometia pinnata J.R.Forst. & G.Forst. | Kasai, Kasai Hutan, | Т | F | Fr | Eaten raw | MK, LB, RL, BT | |
| | LT-001 | Ungkilanang | | | | | | |
| Sapotaceae | Madhuca utilis (Ridl.) H.J.Lam | Balem gunung | Т | F | Fr | Eaten raw | LB | |
| | SU-033 | | | | Sd | Vegetable oil | | |
| | | | | | St | Construction | | |
| | | | | | | materials | | |
| Solanaceae | <i>Physalis angulata</i> L. | Ciplukan | Н | Fm | Fr | Eaten raw | MK, LB, RL, BT | |
| | RH-024 | | | | Le | Medicine | | |
| Vitaceae | Leea indica (Burm. f.) Merr. | Malai | S | F | Fr | Eaten raw | LB | |
| | SU-035 | | | | Le | Vegetable, | | |
| | | | | | | medicine | | |

Legend:

Life form: T = Tree, S = Shrub, H = Herb, C = Climber; Habitat: F = Forest, Fm = Farmland;

Plant part used: Ro = Root, St = Stem, Le = Leaf, Fr = Fruit, Sd = Seed; District: MK = Mukomuko; LB = Lebong; RL = Rejang Lebong; BT = Bengkulu Tengah

Wild edible fruit for food purposes

Local people in the study area live near the forest and have long relied on various wild species for their livelihoods. Our findings show that 73 WEFs have been discovered in the Bengkulu region, with eleven (15%) species being the most frequently cited by local people in the study area (RFC > 0.08). The top five most cited WEFs are *Artocarpus integer, Mangifera odorata, Bellucia pentamera, Garcinia atroviridis,* and *Mangifera foetida* (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Relative frequency citation (RFC) of wild edible fruits in the study area

The local people in the study area primarily use WEFs for food. The most consumed plant parts were fruit (74.3%), followed by seeds (20.3%), and leaves (5.4%). Plants were consumed in two methods: raw and cooked. Fruits are eaten raw, seeds are usually roasted and eaten as snacks, and young leaves are usually cooked as vegetables. During the discussion, all respondents state that they harvested WEFs for self-consumption.

The top 10 most preferred WEFs by their taste quality were *Artocarpus integer*, *Mangifera odorata*, *Pometia pinnata*, *Flacourtia rukam*, *Durio oxleyanus*, *Baccaurea racemosa*, *Bellucia pentamera*, *Baccaurea macrocarpa*, *Baccaurea polyneura*, and *Mangifera foetida*. *Artocarpus integer* is the most popular WEFs, preferred by the majority of respondents across all studied villages (preference ranking; Table 4).

Table 4. Results of preference ranking of top ten wild edible fruit species by their taste quality (1 = least, 2 = less, 3 = good, 4 = very good, and 5 = excellent)

| Charles | Resp | onden | ts (N=3 | 383) | | | | | Total | Dank |
|----------------------|------|-------|---------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|-----------------|
| species | LT | GM | SU | KD | КМ | CL | RH | SR | Score | капк |
| Artocarpus integer | 4.9 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 4.78 | 1 st |
| Mangifera odorata | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.73 | 2 nd |
| Pometia pinnata | 4.8 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.69 | 3 rd |
| Flacourtia rukam | 4.7 | 4.2 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.56 | 4 th |
| Durio oxleyanus | 4.9 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 4.55 | 5 th |
| Baccaurea racemosa | 4.4 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 4.54 | 6 th |
| Bellucia pentamera | 4.2 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 4.53 | 7 th |
| Baccaurea macrocarpa | 4.6 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 4.51 | 8 th |
| Baccaurea polyneura | 4.8 | 4.2 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 4.48 | 9 th |
| Mangifera foetida | 4.4 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.45 | 10^{th} |

Legend: LT = Lubuk Talang; GM = Gajah Makmur; SU = Sebelat Ulu; KD = Ketenong Dua; KM = Kayu Manis; CL = Cawang Lama; RH = Rindu Hati; SR = Surau

Wild edible fruit plants used for medicinal purposes

A total of 15 (20.5%) WEFs were used by the local people in the Bengkulu region as traditional medicines. These species were used against 18 human ailments (Table 5).

| Scientific nome | Plant part | Mode of | Route of | Disease treated |
|-------------------------|------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Scientific name | used | preparation | administration | Disease treated |
| Aglaia silvestris | Le | Squeezing | External application | Wounds, tonic |
| Baccaurea lanceolata | Le | Squeezing | External application | Headache |
| | Fr | Decoction | Oral | Tonsils, obesity |
| Baccaurea sumatrana | Le | Squeezing | External application | Headache |
| Ficus fistulosa | Ro | Decoction | Oral | Postpartum infection |
| Ficus racemosa | Le | Boiling | Oral | Diarrhea, dysentery |
| | | Crushing | External application | Wound |
| | Fr | Decoction | Oral | Cough |
| Flacourtia rukam | Le | Decoction | Oral | Diarrhea, dysentery |
| | | Chewing | Oral | Bleeding gums, toothache |
| Garcinia atroviridis | Fr | Eaten raw | Oral | Obesity |
| Garcinia xanthochymus | Fr | Eaten raw | Oral | Diarrhea, |
| | | | | stomachache, obesity |
| Leea indica | Le | Crushing | External application | Wound |
| | | Decoction | Oral | Diarrhea, dysentery |
| Mallotus peltatus | Le | Boiling | Oral | Stomachache |
| | | Squeezing | External application | Skin diseases |
| Melastoma malabathricum | Le | Squeezing | External application | Wound |
| Miconia crenata | Le | Squeezing | External application | Wound |
| Nauclea orientalis | Le | Crushing | External application | Boils |
| Physalis angulata | Le | Decoction | Oral | Fever, malaria, |
| | | | | postpartum infection |
| | | Squeezing | External application | Rheumatism, skin |
| | | | | diseases |
| Syzygium polyanthum | Le | Decoction | Oral | Diarrhea, stomachache |

Table 5. List of wild edible fruits as traditional medicine

Plant parts used among local people to treat various illnesses were primarily leaves (70.6%), followed by fruits (23.5%) and roots (5.9%). The most commonly used ethnomedicine plant remedies were diarrhea (5 species), followed by wound (4 species each), obesity and stomachache (3 species each), headache, postpartum infection, dysentery, and skin diseases (2 species each), and tonic, tonsils, bleeding gums, toothache, boils, fever, malaria, rheumatism, and cough (1 plant species each).

Wild edible fruit plants used for other purposes

The finding revealed that the people of Bengkulu used WEFs for a variety of purposes. They use these plants for construction, agricultural tools, fuelwood, and fodder, in addition to wild food and medicinal plant uses. A multipleuse analysis revealed that agricultural tools were the most frequently reported (15), while fuelwood was the least reported (6) (Figure 3).

Indigenous knowledge transfer

Local people in the study area have long interacted with nature by relying on a diverse range of wild plant species, including WEFs, for livelihoods. Our findings revealed that people in the Lebong district identified more WEFs than people in other districts. The average number of WEFs identified by each age group of the respondents ranged from 32.48 ± 6.21 (15-25 years) to 45.03 ± 11.32 (56-65 years). Moreover, the average number of species identified by each educational level of the respondent ranged from 33.68 ± 8.49 (Senior High School) to 45.16 ± 10.98 (No education) (Table 6).



Figure 3. The other uses of the wild edible plant species

| | Table 6. | Comparison | of subgroups o | f respondents o | on their indigenous | knowledge of WEFs |
|--|----------|------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------|
|--|----------|------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------|

| Variable | Total respondents | The average number of WEFs identified | Statistical test | <i>p-</i> value |
|--------------------|----------------------|--|--------------------|-----------------|
| | | | | |
| Mukomuko | 104 | 35.23 ± 6.43 | | |
| Lebong | 39 | 53.69 ± 6.49 | | |
| Rejang Lebong | 167 | 33.03 ± 5.06 | | |
| Bengkulu Tengah | 73 | 31.11 ± 5.17 | | |
| Gender | | | <i>χ2</i> = 51.412 | 0.072 |
| Male | 175 | $\textbf{36.71} \pm \textbf{9.73}$ | | |
| Female | 208 | 34.63 ± 7.56 | | |
| Age | | | W = 52.247 | 0.0001 |
| 15-25 | 60 | $\textbf{32.48} \pm \textbf{6.21}$ | | |
| 26-35 | 83 | 32.57 ± 7.32 | | |
| 36-45 | 102 | 34.88 ± 8.07 | | |
| 46-55 | 106 | $\textbf{37.48} \pm \textbf{7.93}$ | | |
| 56-65 | 32 | 45.03 ± 11.32 | | |
| Level Education | | | W= 39.618 | 0.0001 |
| No Education | 43 | 45.16 ± 10.98 | | |
| Elementary School | 104 | $\textbf{35.19} \pm \textbf{6.92}$ | | |
| Junior High School | 127 | 34.20 ± 7.33 | | |
| Senior High School | 81 | 33.68 ± 8.49 | | |
| Higher Education | 28 | 33.93 ± 6.97 | | |

Traditional knowledge of WEFs varied significantly across the four districts (p < 0.05). Local people in the Lebong district possessed significantly more indigenous knowledge than those in other districts. There was no statistically significant difference between men and women in indigenous knowledge (p > 0.05). However, there was a significant relationship between age and indigenous knowledge (p > 0.05), as well as education level and indigenous knowledge (p > 0.05). The findings of the study revealed a decrease in traditional knowledge across age groups, with older people having more knowledge about WEFs than younger people. During the discussion, 58.3% of respondents aged 15-25 claimed that they spent more time at school and, as a result, were rarely involved in WEFs harvesting. In contrast, our findings show that more than 40% of respondents aged 15-25 spend their weekends playing online games.

During the discussion, approximately 68% of respondents stated that they obtain traditional knowledge of the use of WEFs from relatives, 17.8% from friends/neighbors, 8.4% from self-learning by experience, and 5.7% from formal education. This demonstrates that relatives play a significant role in the transmission of traditional knowledge concerning wild plants.

Discussion

A total of 73 WEFs from 26 botanical families were recorded in Bengkulu province, which is comparable to the 67 WEFs reported from Riau, Indonesia (Syamsuardi *et al.* 2022) and 54 wild edible fruit species (27 families) reported from Central Aceh, Indonesia (Navia *et al.* 2020a). However, this is less when compared to 520 WEFs reported in Malaysia (Milow *et al.* 2014) and 129 species in Aceh, Indonesia (Suwardi *et al.* 2022b). WEFs were discovered in the study area primarily as foods. This is in line with previous studies from Riau, Indonesia (Syamsuardi *et al.* 2022), Aceh, Indonesia (Suwardi & Navia 2022), and the Philippines (Chua-Barcelo 2014). WEFs were mostly consumed both raw and cooked or further processed. For example, *Garcinia xanthocymus* and *Garcinia atroviridis* fruits were consumed raw as well as dried as spices. WEFs such as *Artocarpus integer, Mangifera odorata, Pometia pinnata, Flacourtia rukam, Durio oxleyanus, Baccaurea racemosa, Bellucia pentamera, Baccaurea macrocarpa, Baccaurea polyneura*, and *Mangifera foetida* were relatively common and familiar to the respondents and were extensively listed in all study villages.

The ethnobotanical study reveals that WEFs have multiple uses aside from food, with more citations for their use as a raw material for construction, which is comparable to the reported in other areas of Indonesia, such as in West Aceh, Indonesia (Suwardi & Navia 2022) and East Aceh (Navia *et al.* 2021b). Several species such as *Artocarpus integer* and *Parkia singularis* were reported for fodder purposes. The medicinal use of WEFs generally included traditional remedies to treat common illnesses such as diarrhea, wound, obesity, headache, postpartum infection, dysentery, skin diseases, stomachache, tonic, tonsils, bleeding gums, toothache, boils, fever, malaria, rheumatism, and cough. *Baccaurea lanceolata* and *Garcinia xanthochymus* were the most commonly used WEFs in traditional medicine, particularly for the treatment of metabolic disorders such as obesity.

This study showed that indigenous knowledge of WEFs differed significantly between the districts, with the respondents from Lebong having more knowledge compared to other districts. This demonstrates that their reliance on forest resources is very high, given that the area is located in the forest, and traditional knowledge on the use of forest resources has proven to be able to be transferred very well between generations. In line with several other studies (Chua-Barcelo 2014; Geng et al. 2016; Yangdon et al. 2022), the association between gender and indigenous knowledge was not statistically significant. Moreover, this finding contrasts with previous studies in another area (Navia et al. 2020a; Suwardi et al. 2020), in which women reported greater knowledge of wild edibles than men. Our findings, on the other hand, suggest that respondents' age and education level influence their traditional knowledge of WEFs. Respondents aged 15 to 25, the majority of whom are in Senior High School, have less traditional knowledge of WEFs usage. According to the observations and discussions, this decline in knowledge, particularly among respondents who are currently enrolled in school, is caused by the fact that they spend more time at school or in town nowadays. Moreover, we see that the majority of the younger generation (15-25 years) in the study area spends a lot of time on weekends accessing online games via the internet, therefore they are less involved in forest product harvesting. The findings confirm the reports of Navia et al. (2020b) and Sujarwo et al. (2014), who found that the use of information technology, particularly the internet, has a significant impact on knowledge of the use of wild plants. Furthermore, the declining knowledge of more senior respondents may be due to them having other jobs far outside of the study area, such as in town, and being less involved in natural forest harvesting. The small proportion of elders who used to pass on their traditional knowledge to the younger generation contributes to traditional knowledge loss (Okui et al. 2021).

The decreasing use of WEFs by local people has resulted in the extinction of wild food culture and is associated with the eroding of indigenous knowledge. It is critical, therefore, to prioritize the promotion of these underutilized species before wild food culture consumption becomes redundant. Efforts to domesticate various useful wild species, including WEFs, must, on the other hand, be initiated by growing these species mixed with crops in their farmland, home garden, or orchard through the implementation of agroforestry practices. Agroforestry practices have been proven to promote biodiversity conservation (Sistla *et al.* 2016), as well as boost the economic growth of communities surrounding forests, and provide sufficient opportunities for the younger generation to learn more about wild species, including WEFs. WEFs may also be promoted by incorporating WEF knowledge into local subjects in schools.

Conclusions and Recommendations

A total of 73 WEFs representing 26 botanical families were discovered in Bengkulu. These plants have been used by the local people for a variety of purposes, including food, medicine, construction materials, agricultural tools, fuelwood, and fodder. Despite the fact that the study discovered a high diversity of WEFs, local people in Bengkulu only used an average of 47 (64%) species. As a result, future research on potential WEFs, including nutritional value, is critical to promoting and conserving WEFs. The study also discovered that younger generations have less indigenous knowledge than older generations, recommending that WEFs knowledge be incorporated into educational curricula.

Declarations

Ethical approval and consent to participate: Permission was taken from the head of the district of Mukomuko, Lebong, Rejang Lebong, and Bengkulu Tengah before data collection. Oral agreements were obtained from local respondents and all field data were collected through their oral approval.

Consent for publication: Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials: Data will be available from the corresponding author in a special request. **Conflict of interests:** The authors declare no competing interests.

Funding: The study received funding from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology of the Republic of Indonesia in 2022 through a Dissertation Research Grant/PDD (Grant No. 083/E5/PG.02.00/PT/2022).

Authors' contributions: ABS, S, EM, and N carried out fieldwork and data analysis. ABS and S configured the research project. ABS drafted the manuscript. All authors read, reviewed, and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Literature cited

Akinnifesi FK, Kwesiga F, Mhango J, Chilanga T, Mkonda A, Kadu CAC, Kadzere I, Mithofer D, Saka JDK, Sileshi G, Ramadhani T, Dhliwayo P. 2006. Towards the development of miombo fruit trees as commercial tree crops in southern Africa. Forests, Trees and Livelihoods 16:103-121.

Bartlett JE, Kotrlik JW, Higgins CC. 2001. Organizational research: Determining appropriate sample size in survey research. Information Technology and Learning Performance Journal 19(1):43.

Beluhan S, Ranogajec A. 2011. Chemical composition and non-volatile components of Croatian wild edible mushrooms. Food Chemistry 124(3):1076-1082.

Bigirimana C, Omujal F, Isubikalu P, Bizuru E, Obaa B, Malinga M, Agea JG, Okullo JBL. 2016. Utilisation of indigenous fruit trees species within the Lake Victoria Basin, Rwanda. Agricultural Science: An International Journal 1(1):1-13.

Biswas SC, Majumdar M, Das S, Misra TK. 2018. Diversity of wild edible minor fruits used by the ethnic communities of Tripura, India. Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge 17(2):282-28

BPS-Statistics of Bengkulu Province. 2022. Bengkulu province in figures 2021. Bengkulu, BPS-Statistics of Bengkulu Province.

Bvenura C, Sivakumar D. 2017. The role of wild fruits and vegetables in delivering a balanced and healthy diet. Food Research International 99:15-30.

Chua-Barcelo RT. 2014. Ethno-botanical survey of edible wild fruits in Benguet, Cordillera administrative region, the Philippines. Asian Pacific Journal oi Tropical Biomedicine 4(Suppl 1):S525-S538.

Debela HF, Njoka JT, Asfaw Z, Nyangito MM. 2012. Nutritional value of *Berchemia discolor*: A potential to food and nutrition security of households. J. Biol. Sci. 12: 263-271.

FAO. 2011. Food security and nutrition. Rome: FAOUN; [Online] Available from: http://www.fao.org/docrep/014/i2011e/i2011e00.pdf [Accessed on 15 December 2022]

FAO. 2017. The future of food and agriculture: trends and challenges. Futur. food Agric. trends challenges. [Online] Available from: https:// www. fao. org/3/ i6583e/i6583e. pdf. [Accessed on 15 December 2022]

Gebauer J, Adam YO, Sanchez AC, Darr D, Eltahir ME, Fadl KE, Hunsche M. 2016. Africa's wooden elephant: the baobab tree (*Adansonia digitata* L.) in Sudan and Kenya: A review. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution 63:377-399.

Geng Y, Zhang Y, Ranjitkar S, Huai H, Wang Y. 2016. Traditional knowledge and its transmission of wild edibles used by the Naxi in Baidi village, Northwest Yunnan province. Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine 12(10):4402.

Gusain YS, Khanduri VP. 2016. *Myrica esculenta*, wild edible fruit of Indian Himalaya: need a sustainable approach for indigenous utilization. Ecology Environment and Conservation 22: 67-270.

Hazarika TK, Singh TS. 2018. Wild edible fruits of Manipur, India: Associated traditional knowledge and implications to sustainable livelihood. Genet. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution 65:319-332.

Kamatou GPP, Vermaak I, Viljoen AM. 2011. An updated review of Adansonia digitata: A commercially important African tree. South African Journal of Botany 77:908-919.

Karun NC, Vaast P, Kushalappa CG. 2014. Bioinventory and documentation of traditional ecological knowledge of wild edible fruits of Kodagu-Western Ghats, India. Journal of Forestry Reearch 25:717-721.

Khasbagan S. 2008. Indigenous knowledge for plant species diversity: a case study of wild plants' folk names used by the Mongolians in Ejina desert area, Inner Mongolia, P R China. Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine 4:11.

Khruomo N, Deb CR. 2018. Indigenous wild edible fruits: Sustainable resources for food, medicine and income generation, a study from Nagaland, India. Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences 6(2):405-413

Kusmana C, Hikmat A. 2015. The biodiversity of flora in Indonesia. Jurnal Pengelolaan Sumberdaya Alam dan Lingkungan 5(2):187-198. doi: 10.19081/jpsl.5.2.187.

Leakey RRB, Tchoundjeu Z, Schreckenberg K, Shackleton SE, Shackleton CM. 2005. Agroforestry Tree Products (AFTPs): Targeting poverty reduction and enhanced livelihoods. International Journal for Agricultural Sustainability 3:1-23.

Mahapatra AK, Panda PC. 2012. Wild edible fruit diversity and its significance in the livelihood of indigenous tribals: Evidence from eastern India. Food Security 4:219-234.

Mahapatra AJ, Mishra S, Basak UC, Panda PC. 2012. Nutrient analysis of some selected wild edible fruits of deciduous forests of India: an explorative study towards non conventional bio-nutrition. Advance Journal of Food Science and Technology 4(1):15-21

Milow P, Malek SB, Edo J, Ong HC. 2014. Malaysian species of plants with edible fruits or seeds and their valuation. International Journal of Fruit Science 14(1):1-27.

Navia ZI, Suwardi AB, Harmawan T, Syamsuardi, Mukhtar E. 2020a. The diversity and contribution of indigenous edible fruit plants to the rural community in the Gayo Highlands, Indonesia. Journal of Agriccuklture and Rural Devekopent in the Tropics and Subtropics 121(1):89-98.

Navia ZI, Audira D, Afifah N, Turnip K, Nuraini, Suwardi AB. 2020b. Ethnobotanical investigation of spice and condiment plants used by the Taming tribe in Aceh, Indonesia. Biodiversitas 21 (10):4467-4473.

Navia ZI, Suwardi AB, Baihaqi. 2021a. Ethnobotanical study of medicinal plants used by local communities in Sekerak Sub-district, Aceh Tamiang, Indonesia. Biodiversitas 22(10):4273-4281.

Navia ZI, Suwardi AB, Nuraini. 2021b. The importance of tropical edible fruit plants for tribal communities in East Aceh region, Indonesia. IOP Conferebce Series on Earth and Environmental Science 637(1):012003.

Okui K, Sawada Y, Yoshida T. 2021. "Wisdom of the elders" or "loss of experience" as a mechanism to explain the decline in traditional ecological knowledge: A case study on Awaji Island, Japan. Human Ecology 49:353-362.

Ong HC, Norliah A, Sorayya M. 2012. Traditional knowledge and usage of edible plants among the Temuan villagers in Kampung Tering, Kuala Pilah, Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia. Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge 11(1):161-165.

Schreckenberg K, Awono A, Degrande A, Mbosso C, Ndoye O, Tchoundjeu Z. 2006. Domesticating indigenous fruit trees as a contribution to poverty reduction. Forests, Trees and Livelihoods 16:35-52.

Sistla SA, Roddy AB, Williams NE, Kramer DB, Stevens K, Allison SD. 2016. Agroforestry practices promote biodiversity and natural resource diversity in Atlantic Nicaragua. PLoS ONE 11(9):e0162529.

Sujarwo W, Arinasa IBT, Salomone F, Caneva G, Fattorini S. 2014. Cultural Erosion of Balinese Indigenous knowledge of food and nutraceutical plants. Economic Botany 68(4):426-437.

Sutrisno IH, Bachtiar A, Navia ZI, Nuraini, Suwardi AB. 2020. Documentation of ritual plants used among the Aceh tribe in Peureulak, East Aceh District, Indonesia. Biodiversitas 21(22):4990-4998.

Suwardi AB, Navia ZI, Harmawan T, Syamsuardi, Mukhtar E. 2020. Wild edible fruits generate substantial income for local people of the Gunung Leuser National Park, Aceh Tamiang region. Ethnobotany Research and Applications 20:1-13.

Suwardi AB, Navia ZI, Harmawan T, Syamsuardi, Mukhtar E. 2022a. Importance and local conservation of wild edible fruit plants in the East Aceh Region, Indonesia. International Journal of Conservation Science 13(1):221-232.

Suwardi AB, Navia ZI, Harmawan T, Seprianto, Syamsuardi, Mukhtar E. 2022b. Diversity of wild edible fruit plant species and their threatened status in the Aceh Province, Indonesia. Biodiversitas 23 (3):1310-1318.

Suwardi AB, Navia ZI. 2022. Sustainable use and management of wild edible fruit plants: a case study in the Ulu Masen protected forest, West Aceh, Indonesia. Journal of Sustainable Forestry1-12.

Syamsuardi, Mukhtar E, Nurainas, Suwardi AB. 2022. Diversity and use of wild edible fruits in the Bukit Rimbang – Bukit Baling Wildlife Reserve, Kampar, Riau, Indonesia. Biodiversitas 23(10):5035-5042.

Swarup S, Cargill EJ, Crosby K, Flagel L, Kniskern J, Glenn KC. 2021. Genetic diversity is indispensable for plant breeding to improve crops. Crop Science 61:839-852.

Uji, T. 2007. Species diversity of indigenous fruits in Indonesia and its potential. Biodiversitas 8(2):157-167.

Vitalini S, Iriti M, Puricelli C, Ciuchi D, Segale A, Fico G. 2013. Traditional knowledge on medicinal and food plants used in Val San Giacomo (Sondrio, Italy)-An alpine ethnobotanical study. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 142(2):517-529.

Yangdon P, Araki T, Rahayu YYS, Norbu K. 2022. Ethnobotanical study of wild edible fruits in eastern Bhutan. Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine 18:27.